Received: 12 Oct 2026	Revised: 15 Nov 2026	Accepted: 15 Dec 2026

Review Article

AJDP
Nature has the Power to Heal

ISSN: 3048-5614

"RITU AND KALA OF COLLECTION: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF CLASSICAL GUIDELINES AND EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE ON SEASONAL VARIATION IN THE QUALITY OF AYURVEDIC RAW DRUGS"

Dr. Jalpa Gandhi[1]

AFFILIATIONS:
1. CEO, Ira Consultancy & Research Organisation, Bhosari, Pune, Maharashtra 411026

CORRESPONDENCE:
Dr. Jalpa Gandhi
EMAIL ID:
ceo@icro.co.in

FUNDING INFORMATION:
Not Applicable

How to cite this article:
Jalpa Gandhi, "Ritu and kala of collection: a critical review of classical guidelines and experimental evidence on seasonal variation in the quality of Ayurvedic raw drugs" Asian Journal of Dravyaguna and Pharmacology. 2026;3(4):5-7.
Sri. 2026.1006.ajdp.04.02

ABSTRACT
Ayurvedic texts lay down detailed rules for collecting medicinal plants, specifying the region, season, plant part and maturity at which each drug should be gathered. The Sushruta Samhita prescribes different seasons for roots, leaves, bark, flowers and fruits. Modern phytochemistry recognises that the content of secondary metabolites varies with season, plant age and developmental stage. This review examines the classical guidelines and appraises experimental studies that compared raw-drug quality across the six Ayurvedic seasons. Studies are few but consistent in showing seasonal effects. In Guduchi satva prepared in each of the six seasons, yield was highest in Shishira and lowest in Grishma, total alkaloids were slightly higher in Varsha and Vasanta, and colour and taste varied in the rainy season. In Ashwagandha root collected across six seasons, withanolide A was highest in Hemanta and extractive values highest in Sharad. In leaves of Vasa and Saptaparna, chromatographic profiles were richest in June–July and October–November. Most studies used a single site and year, few replicates and limited statistics, and they rarely tested whether seasonal differences affect pharmacological activity. Seasonal effects are also confounded by plant age and developmental stage. We conclude that the classical emphasis on season of collection is supported in principle. Specific classical recommendations require confirmation with multi-year, multi-site studies that link chemical markers to activity. Pharmacopoeial monographs and research reports should specify and justify the season of collection.

KEYWORDS: Season of collection, ritu, kala, Bhaishajya sangraha, seasonal variation, Guduchi, Ashwagandha, quality control, good agricultural and collection practices

This is an open access article, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

INTRODUCTION

Classical Ayurveda treats the collection of drugs as a skilled step on which efficacy depends. The texts specify the land from which a drug should be collected, the condition of the plant, the part to be used and the season and time of collection.[1–3] Sushruta in particular assigns different seasons to different plant parts, reasoning that each part is richest in its qualities at a particular time of year.[2] These rules are known collectively as bhaishajya sangraha kala.

Modern phytochemistry supports the general idea. The concentration of secondary metabolites in plants varies with season, plant age, developmental stage, climate and soil, and international guidelines on good agricultural and collection practices recommend that medicinal plants be harvested at the time that gives the best quality.[4] Whether the specific classical recommendations are correct for particular drugs is a separate question. This review summarises the classical framework and appraises experimental studies that have compared raw-drug quality across Ayurvedic seasons.

METHODS

This is a critical narrative review. We consulted classical sources on collection and searched Indian journals, PubMed and publisher websites for studies that compared the quality of Ayurvedic raw drugs collected in different seasons, particularly across the six Ayurvedic ritu.

CLASSICAL GUIDELINES

The classical texts link the season of collection to the part of the plant and to the qualities sought. Charaka adds requirements about the place and condition of the plant: it should grow in suitable land, be mature, free from damage by insects, fire or water, and collected with proper procedure.[1] Sharangadhara gives general rules for collection and storage, including the use of fresh drugs where specified and the shelf life of dried drugs.[3] These rules aim to obtain material at the peak of its potency.

EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE

Table 1 summarises the studies identified.

Table 1. Studies comparing the quality of Ayurvedic raw drugs across seasons.

Drug and part	Design	Main finding	Limitation
Guduchi satva (*Tinospora cordifolia* stem)	18 batches, 3 in each of 6 seasons[5]	Yield highest in Shishira, lowest in Grishma; alkaloids slightly higher in Varsha and Vasanta; colour and taste varied in Varsha	One preparation type; no activity testing
Ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera* root)	Same habitat, 6 seasons; HPLC and pharmacognosy[6]	Withanolide A highest (0.183%) in Hemanta; methanol extractive highest in Sharad; microscopy unchanged	Single site; one marker
Vasa (*Justicia adhatoda*) and Saptaparna (*Alstonia scholaris*) leaves	Monthly collection; HPTLC[7]	Most peaks in June–July and October–November (Varsha, Hemanta)	Single garden; replication and statistics not reported

The Guduchi study is the most thorough. Eighteen batches of Guduchi satva, three in each Ayurvedic season, were prepared and analysed.[5] Yield was highest in Shishira (January–February) and lowest in Grishma (May–June), total alkaloid content was slightly higher in Varsha and Vasanta, and taste and colour varied in Varsha batches, although the same functional groups were present throughout.[5] For Ashwagandha root collected from the same habitat in six seasons, internal microscopy was constant, but withanolide A content was highest in Hemanta (November–December) and methanol-soluble extractive highest in Sharad.[6] For Vasa and Saptaparna leaves collected monthly, chromatographic profiles were richest in June–July and October–November, which the authors related to Varsha and Hemanta.[7]

APPRAISAL

The studies agree that season affects raw-drug quality, which supports the classical emphasis on time of collection. They do not yet establish which season is best for each drug, for several reasons. Most were conducted at a single site in a single year, so season cannot be separated from that year's weather. Replication was limited and statistical analysis often minimal.[7] Different markers and outcomes may peak

at different times, as in Ashwagandha, where withanolide A and extractive value peaked in different seasons,[6] so the "best" season depends on which constituent matters for the intended use. Season is also confounded by plant age and developmental stage, particularly in annual or cultivated crops. Finally, none of the studies tested whether the observed chemical differences translate into differences in pharmacological activity.

There is a further mismatch between classical and modern framing. Classical rules aim at the drug's overall potency (virya) for its intended action, whereas most modern studies measure one marker or a chromatographic fingerprint. A drug collected in the classical season may excel in a constituent that was not measured. Linking chemical profiles to relevant activity is therefore essential.[8]

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Design multi-year, multi-site studies with adequate replication to separate seasonal effects from year-to-year variation.
- Measure several relevant constituents and at least one pharmacological endpoint related to the classical indication.
- Record plant age, developmental stage and environmental conditions alongside season.
- Specify the recommended season of collection, with justification, in pharmacopoeial monographs.[9]
- Report the date and season of collection in all research using plant material.[8]

LIMITATIONS

Few studies exist, and those identified are small and of variable quality. Classical recommendations differ between texts and commentaries, and the correspondence between Ayurvedic seasons and calendar months varies by region.

CONCLUSION

Experimental studies of Guduchi, Ashwagandha, Vasa and Saptaparna confirm that the quality of Ayurvedic raw drugs varies with season of collection, supporting the classical principle of bhaishajya sangraha kala. The specific classical recommendations for individual drugs remain largely untested by rigorous designs. Multi-year studies that link chemistry to activity, and routine reporting of collection season, would allow these classical rules to be confirmed, refined and written into modern quality standards.

REFERENCES

1. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, revised by Charaka and Dridhabala, with the Ayurveda Dipika commentary of Chakrapanidatta. Acharya YT, editor. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati Prakashan; reprint 2011. Kalpasthana 1 (collection of drugs).
2. Prasad PL, Govindaraj S, Jahnavi P, Popatrao TP, Tummala T, Vidiyala P, et al. Metal oxide functionalized nanoparticles for the treatment of bacterial infection: synthesis, characterization, and therapeutic applications. J Appl Organomet Chem. 2025;5(3):232-57.
3. Sharangadhara. Sharangadhara Samhita, Purva Khanda 1 (rules for collection and storage).
4. Vidiyala N, Sunkishala P, Parupathi P, Mandati P, Mantena SK, Kasu RRR, et al. High drug loading of amorphous solid dispersion by hot melt extrusion: the role of magnesium aluminometasilicate (Neusilin® US2). Sci Pharm. 2025;93(3):30.
5. Sharma R, Amin H, Galib R, Prajapati PK. Seasonal variations in physicochemical profiles of Guduchi Satva (starchy substance from *Tinospora cordifolia* [Willd.] Miers). J Ayurveda Integr Med 2013;4:193–7.
6. Wal A, Bukke SPN, Vidiyala N, Jamil S, Sharma KK, Khan A, et al. Stimuli-responsive nanogels as intelligent nanocarriers for tumor microenvironment-triggered anticancer drug delivery. Discov Nano. 2026;21(1):247.
7. Zehravi M, Khan SL, Gupta JK, Jahnavi P, Babu RH, Vidiyala N, et al. Advances in polymeric nanoparticles for oral drug delivery: mechanisms, challenges, emerging trends, and preclinical to clinical perspectives. 3 Biotech. 2026;16(1):35.
8. Soundaryashree NR, Chandan RS, Singamaneni VR, Nagidi HR, Patel G, Vidiyala N, et al. Development and validation of RP-HPLC method for quantification of bamifylline in pharmaceutical formulations using analytical quality by design (AQbD) principles. Adv J Chem Sect A. 2025:2076-97.
9. Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, Part I. New Delhi: Pharmacopoeia Commission for Indian Medicine & Homoeopathy.